The Past and Future Mass Balance of Himalayan Glaciers



Krishna AchutaRao

Centre for Atmospheric Sciences India Institute of Technology Delhi New Delhi

Science and Geopolitics of Himalaya, Arctic & Antarctic SaGHAA VI 2017

Objectives

 Modelling Mass Balance of glaciers to understand the drivers of past changes and hydrological implications.

Objectives

- Modelling Mass Balance of glaciers to understand the drivers of past changes and hydrological implications.
- Model future changes to glacier mass balance in the Himalayas.

Location of Chosen Glaciers



Observed Annual Specific Mass Balance – Himalayan Glaciers



Datasets

- Meteorological Data
 - ECMWF Reanalysis (2.5°x2.5° resolution) for
 - Monthly mean Temperature
 - Fixed fields: Orography
 - GPCC data for precipitation (2.5°x2.5°)
 - Monthly Precipitation
 - Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5)
 - Monthly Datasets Temperature, Precipitation
 - Fixed fields: Orography
 - Models: CCSM4, CMCC-CM, CMCC-CMS, CNRM-CM5, CSIRO-Mk3-6-0, FGOALS-g2, GFDL-CM3, GFDL-ESM2G, GFDL-ESM2M, GISS-E2-H, GISS-E2-R, HadGEM2-CC, HadGEM2-ES, MPI-ESM-LR, MPI-ESM-MR





Mass Balance Model
$$b = c - a + R$$
Specific Mass
BalanceAccumulationAblationRefreezingAccumulation: $c = \delta_m P_m$ $\begin{cases} \delta_m = 1, T_m < T_{snow} \\ \delta_m = 0, T_m \geq T_{snow} \end{cases}$ where T_m Honthly Precipitation T_m T_m

Mass Balance Model
$$b = c - a + R$$
Specific Mass
BalanceAccumulationAblationRefreezingAccumulation: $c = \delta_m P_m$ $\begin{cases} \delta_m = 1, T_m < T_{snow} \\ \delta_m = 0, T_m \ge T_{snow} \end{cases}$ where
 T_m r_m Ablation: $a = f_{snow/ice}T_m^+n$ where
 T_m^+ r_m^+ r_m^+ <

Mass Balance Model

$$b = c - a + R$$
Specific Mass
Balance
Accumulation Ablation Refreezing
Accumulation: $C = \delta_m P_m \begin{cases} \delta_m = 1, T_m < T_{snow} & \text{where } P_m = \text{Monthly Precipitation} \\ T_m = \text{Monthly Temperature} \\ T_{snow} = \text{Snow Higher Precipitation} \\ T_m = \text{Monthly Temperature} \\ T_{snow} = \text{Snow Temperature} \\ T_{snow} = \text{Snow Temperature} \\ \text{Ablation:} \qquad a = f_{snow/ice} T_m^+ n \qquad \text{where } f_{snow/ice} \Rightarrow \text{Degree day factor of snow/ice} \\ \text{Refreezing:} \quad R = -0.69T_a + 0.0096 \quad T_a \Rightarrow \text{Annual Mean Temperature} \\ \text{Area Averaged Specific Mass Balance} \\ B = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i S_i \\ B = \sum_{i=0}^n S_i \qquad \text{where, } S = \text{Surface area of glacier} \\ n = \text{number of elevation bands} \end{cases}$

Mass Balance Model

Calculating Temperature at Glacier site (from Reanalysis):

$$T = T_{ERA} + lr_{ERA} \left(h_{\max} - h_{ERA} \right) + lr \left(h - h_{\max} \right)$$

Where, T_{ERA} = Temperature from ERA-40 from the observed period lr_{ERA} = Statistical Lapse Rate lr = Lapse rate along the glacier surface h_{max} = Highest altitude of the glacier h_{ERA} = Altitude of the ERA grid cell containing the glacier h = Average altitude of the elevation band

Calculation of Precipitation at Glacier site (from Reanalysis):

$$P = k_p \times P_{ERA} [1 + d_{prec} (h - h_{max})]$$

Where, k_p = Precipitation coefficient P_{ERA} = Monthly precipitation in GPCC

 d_{prec} = Precipitation gradient

Mass Balance Model

Calculating Temperature at Glacier site (from Reanalysis):

$$T = T_{ERA} + lr_{ERA} \left(h_{\max} - h_{ERA} \right) + lr \left(h - h_{\max} \right)$$

Where, T_{ERA} = Temperature from ERA-40 from the observed period lr_{ERA} = Statistical Lapse Rate lr = Lapse rate along the glacier surface h_{max} = Highest altitude of the glacier h_{ERA} = Altitude of the ERA grid cell containing the glacier h = Average altitude of the elevation band lr

 f_{snow}

 f_{ice}

 k_p

T_{snow}

Calculation of Precipitation at Glacier site (from Reanalysis):

$$P = k_p \times P_{ERA}[1 + d_{prec}(h - h_{max})]$$

Where, k_p = Precipitation coefficient P_{ERA} = Monthly precipitation in GPCC d_{prec} = Precipitation gradient

Observed and Modelled Annual Specific Mass Balance Himalayan Glaciers







- Negative bias in temperature favours accumulation and refreezing resulting in a positive bias.
- Higher positive temperature bias results in high negative bias of the

MB bias CMIP5 pr/ERA tas



-10

0

-10

0 -10

ં

(m.w 20

Annual Mass Balance Bias

-10

-10

-10

-100

-10

-25 -50

-20 -40

-10

-10



ં

(m.w

Annual Mass Balance Bias

S Temperature:

- Negative bias in temperature favours accumulation and refreezing resulting in a positive bias.
- Higher positive temperature bias results in high negative bias of the mass balance.

Precipitation:

Higher negative bias results in high negative bias of the mass balance. Positive bias in precipitation results in a positive bias.





(ə.

(m.w

Annual Mass Balance Bias

S Temperature:

- Negative bias in temperature favours accumulation and refreezing resulting in a positive bias.
- Higher positive temperature bias results in high negative bias of the mass balance.

Precipitation:

Higher negative bias results in high negative bias of the mass balance. Positive bias in precipitation results

in a positive bias.





S Temperature:

- Negative bias in temperature favours accumulation and refreezing resulting in a positive bias.
- Higher positive temperature bias results in high negative bias of the mass balance.

Precipitation:

- Higher negative bias results in high negative bias of the mass balance.
- Positive bias in precipitation results in a positive bias.
 - Larger bias due climate variables of many models for particular glacier.
 - Few models with large bias for many glaciers.



Modelled Historical and RCP4.5 Projections

Modelled Annual Specific Mass Balance - Chotta Shigri

(Bias removed CMIP5 Historical and RCP45)



Modelled Historical and RCP8.5 Projections

Modelled Annual Specific Mass Balance - Chotta Shigri

(Bias removed CMIP5 Historical and RCP85)



Conclusion

- Very few glaciers with long record of mass balance observations are available for the Himalayas
- Glaciers with longer record of mass balance observations are better for modeling
- Model errors in precipitation are larger than temperature errors affecting mass balance calculations
- The RCP8.5 scenario shows a comparatively larger loss of ice mass at the end of century than RCP4.5



indseelan@gmail.com

McCarty Glacier - Alaska





Data Survey

 Individual glacier details such as Location, elevation, surface area, Mass Balance time series, changes are sourced from Glacier Atlas of India, INSTAAR Occasional paper no 55 and journal publications.

		hmin	hmax	hmean	area	starting	ending	Tot.		
S. No	Glacier	(m)	(m)	(m)	(sqkm)	year	year	Years	Source	Region
А	Siachen	2800	7600	5200	647.3	1987	1991	5	Bhutiyani 1999	КА
В	Shishram	3740	4900	4320	9.9	1984	1984	1	INSTAAR/OP-55	WH
С	Kolahoi	3690	5000	4345	11.9	1984	1984	1	INSTAAR/OP-55	WH
D	Neh Nar	3920	4925	4422.5	1.7	1980	1984	5	INSTAAR/OP-55	WH
E	Rulung	5660	6090	5880	0.947	1980	1981	2	Srivastava et al 1999b	WH
F	Hamtah	4000	5000	4500	3.3	2001	2012	11	S.S.Dutta et al 2009	WH
G	Chotta Shigri	4050	6263	5156.5	8.75	1988	2011	11	Glacier Atlasof India	WH
Н	Gara	4700	5600	5150	2.02	1975	1983	9	Glacier Atlasof India	WH
I	Gor Garang	4750	5400	5075	5.76	1977	1985	9	INSTAAR/OP-55	WH
J	Tipra Bank	3070	5730	4400	7	1986	1988	3	INSTAAR/OP-55	WH
К	Shaune Garang	3840	5360	4600	4.94	1982	1990	9	INSTAAR/OP-55	СН
L	Naradu	4395	5400	4920	4.56	2001	2003	3	Koul & Ganjoo 2010	СН
М	Dokirani	3890	5990	4940	8.75	1993	2000	6	Glacier Atlasof India	СН
Ν	Chorabari	3850	6420	5070	6.66	2004	2010	7	Dobhal et al 2013	СН
0	Dunagiri	3970	5150	4560	2.56	1986	1990	5	INSTAAR/OP-55	СН
Р	Rikha Samba	5392	6476	5800	5.37	2013	2013	1	Sanjaya et al 2016	СН
Q	Yala	5094	5749	5250	2.5	2012	2012	1	Sanjaya et al 2016	СН
R	Pokalde	5430	5690	5625	0.1	2010	2012	3	P.Wagnon et al 2013	СН
S	Mera	4940	6420	5615	5.1	2008	2012	5	P.Wagnon et al 2013	СН
Т	ChangmeKhangpu	5080	5520	5300	4.5	1981	1986	6	INSTAAR/OP-55	EH
U	Gangju La	4900	5200	5050	0.29	2012	2014	3	Phantsho et al 2016	EH
	KA - Karakoram, WH - Western Himalayas, CH - Central Himalayas, EH - Eastern Himalayas									



Modelling Hypsometry

Not all the glaciers have observed hypsometry Triangle Method : mountain glaciers (Raper and Braithwaite 2006) Parabola Method : ice caps, ice sheets



Mass Balance Model Parameters

Parameter	Parameter name	Parameter range		
lr _{ERA}	Statistical lapse rate	-0.01 to 0.002		
lr	Normal lapse rate	-0.01 to 0.002		
f_{snow}	Degree day of snow	2 to 8		
f_{ice}	Degree day of ice	4 to 12		
k_p	Precipitation coefficient	0.1 to 20		
d_{prec}	Precipitation gradient	0.0 to 0.9		
T _{snow}	Temperature of snow	0.0 to 2.0		

Area Averaged Monthly contribution of Mass Balances

DOKIRANI



Difference in Orography (ERA40 - ERA Interim) Restricted to grids with glaciers



Selection of CMIP5 models

We have to examine carefully three statistical properties viz Correlation, Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and Standard Deviation (SD).

If the Correlation is high and RMSE is low, we can say the model is good as the SD is a trigonometric function of those two.



Winter Climatology of Precipitation (1971-2000) - Karakoram



Winter Climatology of Precipitation (1971-2000) - Western Himalayas



Winter Climatology of Precipitation (1971-2000) - Central Himalayas

Winter Climatology of Precipitation (1971-2000) - Eastern Himalayas



Region wise seasonal climatology of precipitation of all models with reference to reanalysis dataset (CMIP5 vs GPCC)



Climatological Annual Cycle of Precipitation (1971-2000)



Climatological Annual Cycle of Temperature (1971-2000)







Summer Climatology of Temperature (1971-2000) - Central Himalayas

Summer Climatology of Temperature (1971-2000) - Eastern Himalayas







Region wise seasonal climatology of temperature of all models with reference to reanalysis dataset (CMIP5 vs ERA40)





Modelled CMIP5 Historical Mass Balance

CHHOTA SHIGRI



Modelled CMIP5 Historical Mass Balance

SIACHEN



Modelled CMIP5 Historical and Future Projections

Modelled Annual Specific Mass Balance - Siachen

(Bias removed CMIP5 Historical and RCP45)



Modelled Annual Specific Mass Balance - Siachen

(Bias removed CMIP5 Historical and RCP85)



Modelled Annual Specific Mass Balance - Siachen

(Raw CMIP5 Historical and RCP45 with 5-95 percentile significance)



Modelled Annual Specific Mass Balance - Siachen

(Raw CMIP5 Historical and RCP85 with 5-95 percentile significance)

